TRANSCRIPT

An International Summit

Reject the W.H.O. and the Globalist Coup!

Tuesday, July 15, 2025
https://www.antiglobalist.net/an-international-summit-reject-the-w-h-o-and-the-globalist-coup/
Media File: SUMMIT_July_15_2025.mp4

BEGIN TRANSCRIPT:

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:00:00] Hello and welcome to the first summit of Anti-Globalist International. Really this is our kickoff event. My name is Reggie Littlejohn I'm an attorney I'm the founder and president of Anti-Globalist International, also co-founder of the Sovereignty Coalition and founder and president of Women's rights without frontiers. And Anti-Globalist International. We have a manifesto online which begins with we are living in a globalist coup, actuated by wealthy and powerful international elites determined to enslave humanity to its own advantage. So that is my view. I'm not saying it's shared by all the panelists. If you want to learn more, go to Anti Net, read the manifesto and hopefully sign it. But the immediate threat is the International Health Regulations. The World Health Organization has served as a major tool, I believe, of globalism, because when people are threatened on health issues, they are willing to give up freedoms that they will not give up in any other circumstance. So that has been a pretext to roll out what could end up being a biotech surveillance police state. So let's look a little bit at the amended international health regulations. I'm going to zoom through some of the problems with it. I'm sure the other panelists here will go into more detail. Number one, the way that it was adopted was a violation of their own rules. They were supposed to submit the final text four months in advance. I don't think they submitted it for hours in advance. They submitted it the same day.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:01:47] Number two, the International Health amended international health regulations violate national sovereignty. They require all countries to appoint what? What amounts to an AI czar whose job it is to implement the will of the

World Health Organization, the directors of the World Health Organization, and also to change domestic laws in accordance with those. Number three, the International Health Regulation amendments require countries to surveil their citizens, and they don't really define that in that document. But you can go over to the pandemic treaty and see the one Health approach, which combines human health, animal health, plant health and the environment. And that is the pretext for the World Health Organization to bring every aspect of life on Earth under its purview. Number four, they require people to censor or nations to censor their people for misinformation and disinformation, which are not clearly defined. But if we look back to how they handled Covid 19, basically those terms mean anything. That is counter narrative to what the World Health Organization is promoting. So there's censorship. Then number five, there are the International Health Regulation amendments require everyone of the world to have a health ID and in the case of developed nations, this would be a digital ID. The European Union or excuse me, the World Health Organization, together with the European Union, has been rolling out international interoperable digital health IDs since 2023. And my concern about these is that they could serve as the platform for a Chinese style social credit system.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:03:37] So I would like to just briefly go over to a chart from the World Economic Forum. The World Economic Forum and the World Health Organization are separate entities, but they collaborate often. And my concern about this international, interoperable digital health ID is that it could serve as the platform for the full digital ID. As you can see in this chart from the World Economic Forum. In other words, you will need a digital ID and be in good standing. In other words, you can't be flouting them. You can't be refusing the most recent booster. You can't be criticizing. This is based on the China Social Credit system. These are dangers that we face that if we have this digital ID structure, we will need a digital ID to open a bank account, to access healthcare, to travel, to own a communications device such as a cell phone or a computer to access government benefits. So in the United States, that would be like, you know, Medicare and Medicaid, Social Security to vote to pay your taxes. So this is a way of tracking and surveilling us in every possible way and in the wrong hand. It could lead to a Chinese style social credit system, biotech surveillance, police state. So that's why I dropped everything to deal with this issue. And I just want to say the panelists we have, I think, 32 panelists, every single panelist here is a hero in their own right.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:05:05] Every single one of them could speak for an hour or hours on this. Everybody gets four minutes because of the amount of time that we have. It's very pressed for time, but it is a great honor. I truly believe that this is the most awesome group of international panelists that has ever been gathered on this issue, so we're going to be kind of moving around the world. We're going to be going area by area. You know, we're going to have Asia, we're going to have the Americas, we're going to have Europe and Africa. And many thanks also, especially to the people who are in Asia and Australia, where it's like 3 or 4 in the morning right now for them to I mean, these people are committed. Every one of these is a hero. And if you come from the area of somebody, I would I would urge you to connect with them and take up the battle in your region of the world. So that being said, I would like to introduce our first speaker, who is almost so well-known she almost doesn't even need any introduction. The Honorable Christine Anderson, member of the European Parliament. She has been one of the most vocal, probably the most vocal member of the European Parliament in opposing the Great Reset, in opposing the EU's Covid 19 protocols and basically standing for freedom. And her topic is to reject the EIR amendments. Thank you so much, MEP Anderson.

Hon. Christine Anderson, MEP (Germany): [00:06:29] Thank you so much for having me. It's good to see you again. And it's really quite a fantastic group you put together here. So thank you very much for allowing me to be part of this fantastic group. So what we've been seeing in the recent decades, a quiet yet coordinated power grab has unfolded on the world stage. And it's not one led by tanks or tyrants or by unelected. It's led by unelected bureaucrats, multinational elites and supranational institutions. And under the banner of globalism, these actors have sought to centralize authority, wrote national borders, and bypass democratic processes in favor of a technocratic governance. This is not a conspiracy in the shadows. It is a strategy playing out in plain sight and often cloaked in the language of progress, sustainability, diversity and global cooperation and all of that. Globalists often portray their policies as solutions to transnational problems climate change, pandemics, financial instability. But beneath this rhetoric lies a systematic attempt to override the will of local populations, the will of the people dilute national sovereignty, and condition people to surrender their freedoms in exchange for promises of security and prosperity. The manipulation is subtle but very effective. The appeal to fear, guilt and moral urgency to bypass debate and stifle dissent. Just take a look at the word Constitution. The whole purpose of a constitution

was, and still is, to limit government, to bind them to the rule of law. And its main function is to protect the citizen from government.

Hon. Christine Anderson, MEP (Germany): [00:08:17] Now the Constitution and the rights enshrined in it are being redefined to not only protect government from citizen, but to also provide the government with legitimate power to undermine fundamental rights and ultimately abolish them all together. And elected officials have been rather successful in convincing people that the Constitution no longer serves as a guarantee of freedom from government, but rather as a guarantee of freedom by the government. Thus giving the government increasingly more competences in more areas in which they can oppose a regulation to intervene in citizen's everyday life. I've been saying it in the past during Covid. Covid was in fact just a test balloon. They wanted to see how far they could go in restricting freedom, democracy and the rule of law without encountering too much resistance. The undermining of fundamental rights. However, it was at that stage done predominantly cognitively. By redefining concepts, fundamental rights are now privileges, collectives, needs, trumps, the individual needs and so forth, and publicly shaming dissidents. But the cognitive approach or gaslighting, I should say, only got them so far. This test balloon Covid was also needed to find out what changes were required to impose even more severe restrictions. And they actually did identify two main areas. Area one legislation and the other one is competences in the institutions. So legislation quite obvious actually, the most obvious being a national infectious disease laws and what the state can take for as countermeasures.

Hon. Christine Anderson, MEP (Germany): [00:10:09] They have all been pretty much throughout all the countries been amended and changed so they could lead up to the standards of the so-called Covid pandemic, but also so-called disinformation campaigns under initiatives to combat hate speech or misinformation. Governments and tech platforms, often advised by global think tanks, have increasingly censored dissenting voices, especially those critical of lockdowns, mRNA injections and Climate mandates free speech as the fundamental pillar of freedom. Democracy and the rule of law in their mind can no longer be allowed as it threatens the politically desired narrative institutions when it comes to that. Yes, they found out the elected officials and the democratic institutions, they ran into a problem. Had they back then implemented even more severe restrictions, they would have had they would have run the risk of not getting re-elected. And trust me, the risk of not getting reelected is the only thing that

kept them from imposing even a more severe restrictions. And this is where now the pandemic treaty proposals by the W.H.O. actually come in in response to Covid 19, proposals have emerged to grant the World Health Organization expanded and powers to declare health emergencies and dictate responses globally. This would sideline national governments and impose top down mandates on populations without local accountability. Elected officials. With the W.H.O., having these powers would no longer have to make these decisions anymore. They are reduced, or they reduced themselves to the recipients of orders which they merely execute.

Hon. Christine Anderson, MEP (Germany): [00:12:05] And this provides them with what I call plausible deniability, because if they were held accountable by the constituents for restrictions imposed on the citizens, they can now claim it wasn't my decision. I would have never done that to you. But the W.H.O. mandated it. So I have to. But and then they will say we're bound by contract to act and legislate according to the AU's decision making. So it turns out Democracy itself is an obstacle which needs to be overcome, not by outright abolishing it, but by telling people. Or gaslighting them into believing it's solely done for their benefit in their own good. And we are seeing some examples of that. You have the climate policy and energy regulation under the guise of combating. I mean, we need to save the planet, right? So they need to impose all kinds of restrictions. We have the mass migration policies. Global compacts and treaties often pressure countries to accept mass migration, diluting cultural cohesion and placing stain strain on the public services, all while criminalizing those who oppose such measures as xenophobic. Then you have, of course, the transgender madness. Under initiatives to combat hate speech and misinformation, government and tech platforms advertised by global think tanks once again are also increasing these voices, and that must be one of the most despicable programs they actually implemented, is to tell little children they were born in the wrong body, and now we have to hack up their bodies to fix them.

Hon. Christine Anderson, MEP (Germany): [00:13:49] But the point is this - God brought us to this earth and the way he made us. We are perfect just the way we are. But each of these policies advances a vision of a borderless, post democratic transhumanism world, one where is no longer government of the people, for the people, and by the people. But it will be a government of the global misanthropy, for the global misanthropy, and by the global misanthropy. As we move forward, the challenge is clear reclaim democratic control, protect national sovereignty and resist the slow erosion of

freedoms under the weight of coordinated global agendas. The price of inaction today is the future in which our once free, liberal and democratic societies, consisting of free individuals, are to be transformed into a collective in which the individual is merely part of a mindless, malleable mass. Under the totalitarian rule of global misanthropy, kept in check with the ultimate control tools of digital ID and digital currency. Along being imprisoned along with being imprisoned in these 15 minute ghettos. We owe it to our fathers and grandfathers who literally spilled their blood to fight former authoritarian rulers, to ensure that we, their children, would be able to live in freedom, democracy and the rule of law. It is their sacrifice which obliges us to keep and defend this very precious gift for our children and our children's children. We need to act now.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:15:44] Thank you so very much. Honorable Christine Anderson, what a powerful speech and a great way to start us off. And we all owe you a huge debt of gratitude for carrying the torch in Europe and all over the world. Our next speaker is Alex Newman, president of Liberty Sentinel Media and award winning international journalist, educator, author, speaker, investor, and radio TV show host, and author of Indoctrinating Our Children to Death. And he will speak about protecting sovereignty for our children's future.

Alex Newman (USA): [00:16:16] Thank you Reggie. Thank you, Christine Anderson. What a wonderful, wonderful panel here. What a great opportunity to share the truth. And very grateful for the opportunity to be here. Folks, as we saw during Covid, these public health emergencies can be among the most powerful pretexts for stealing our rights. Rights that our forefathers, as the Honorable Christine Anderson just said, literally fought and died for. Rights that here in America our forefathers said it came from God Himself and could never be infringed upon by governments that they said were established to protect those rights. We lost freedoms that we didn't even think of as freedoms prior to the pandemic. The right to work, the right to visit our family. The right to go to church. The right to go shopping. All of these came under threat. And if they can take those rights from us, there is nothing they can do. There is no boundary that they cannot cross. And as such, we can never allow this to happen again. The International Health Regulations and the pandemic agreement, so-called they show us that the goals of this organization are absolutely nefarious. If these globalists are not stopped, the W.H.O. will end up serving as an all-powerful Ministry of Health that will make the Covid tyranny that we just lived through look like child's play by comparison.

And no matter what aspect of the agenda you look at, it is lawlessness and it is evil. And we need to understand that this is evil.

Alex Newman (USA): [00:17:34] This is not misguided. This is not a mistake. This was not a difference in policy opinions. This was evil. And we need to speak out in those terms. I think loudly and clearly. If you look at the one health agenda that Reggie alluded to, this is an effort to subordinate not just your individual rights, but even your health to nebulous claims of environmental progress and animal health, it is paganism and pantheism and collectivism, all mixed into a horrific ideology that targets not just your health and your freedom, but even the most essential elements of your humanity itself. If you look at the so-called mental health agenda, which we see so clearly now infecting public schools around the world, it seeks to weaponize this entire field as a weapon against dissidents like we saw in the Soviet Union. Essentially, if you don't agree with their global tyranny, you must be crazy and you must be drugged. If you look at the W.H.O. so-called misinformation and disinformation agenda, this is an effort not just to regulate what you can say, but even to regulate the information that you have the ability to access. How can you possibly make good decisions about your health and the health of your family if you cannot freely access information? If you look at the Who's agenda to sexualize our children through what they very misleadingly refer to as education, this is really an effort to break down morality.

Alex Newman (USA): [00:18:49] It's an effort to break down the family and the goal, as it has been from the very start of these agendas, is to atomized the individual, alienate him or her from those who love him or her the most, like parents, and then allow our would be overlords to impose their degenerate and totalitarian values on our posterity. The UN is literally indoctrinating our children as we speak. To see the UN and its agencies like the W.H.O. as the last best hope for mankind. If you look at their vaccine agenda, seeks to forcibly seize control of our bodies and the bodies of our children while shoveling endless taxpayer funded profits into big pharmaceutical companies. And how could we forget the W.H.O. backed neonatal tetanus vaccine campaign in Kenya that injected untold numbers of girls with vaccines contaminated with Beta hCG, thereby rendering them infertile? And folks, this is just at the superficial level. Once you start digging deeper, you look into the mRNA technologies, the Crispr gene modification programs, the World Economic Forum's fourth industrial revolution. You'll see that it's not just our faith, our families and our freedom that are in the crosshairs of these

tyrants, but even our humanity itself. We need to understand that the W.H.O. is a tool of tyrants. They're targeting our children even more viciously than they targeted us. And while there are various interests who pull the strings at the W.H.O., the Chinese Communist Party, Big Pharma, Western globalists and depopulation zealots like Bill gates, they're all united in their belief that they ought to be ruling over us.

Alex Newman (USA): [00:20:10] And public health is the perfect excuse. It's not enough for us to continually play defense and fight back against each and every W.H.O. program or power grab. It is time to permanently neutralize this threat by having key governments, including the government of the United States, withdraw once and for all. We have a once in a lifetime opportunity to do this right now, and if we in the United States act and lead with boldness, others will follow us. Trump's efforts on this front are to be commended so far, but we need much more than that. I've spoken with several members of Congress recently. They told me that they're working now on the next big, beautiful bill. And regardless about our feelings about everything in these giant pieces of legislation, I believe we must insist, at a bare minimum, that Congress permanently enshrine our departure from the W.H.O. and other tyrannical agencies into federal law. That way, the next deep state Democrat puppet who ends up in the white House cannot simply reverse it with a stroke of a pen, as Joe Biden did. Folks, everything is on the line. We must not fail. Our children are depending on us. With God's help, we can and we will succeed. Thank you, guys, and God bless you.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:21:08] Thank you so very much, Alex Newman. Awesome as always. And following up just a little bit of what Alex said, I completely agree that we need legislation to make the withdrawal from the World Health Organization permanent. And I would point people to the Antiglobalist.net website where we've got action items. Also sovereignty coalition. You can actually take action if you're American to alert your legislators that you want. You believe that it is important for there to be legislation to make Trump's executive order withdrawing from the World Health Organization permanent. So next up, we have the Israeli contingent. And the reason I put them here is, number one, because of the time difference, but number two, because they have waged a successful battle to get their nation out of the international health regulations. And I believe they're the only ones who have. Also, just personally, I flew out to speak at the Knesset, and so it was the longest flight I've ever taken to speak. The shortest amount of time, I think I spoke three minutes. But anyway, the first up is Yonatan Segev

and Heidi Moses activist extraordinaire. Jonathan is the chairman of the Independent Israel Association. Heidi is a very effective lobbyist at the Israeli Knesset, and there are going to talk about how they were able to actually get Israel, persuade the Knesset to withdraw from the World Health, the International Health Regulation amendments, and also an initiative that they have. And we are actually responding in some ways to this initiative of getting people to withdraw from the International Health Regulation amendments by July 19th. That's this Saturday. That's why we had this this week. That's why we're having this summit right now. So Yonatan and Heidi, we are so happy to hear whatever you have to say.

Yehonatan Segev (Israel): [00:23:01] Greetings. It's not obvious at all, this summit meeting. Thank you so much. Reggie, thank you so much for inviting us. This is a big honor for me, for us. For. Say I'm in a chairman of the independent Israel. It's an organization that do exactly what the sovereignty a coalition is doing in the states and all guys around the world. Thank you so much for this effort. I want to say thank you to the Ministry of Health of Israel, Mr. Uriel Buso. He decided to that the new air is very dangerous for the sovereignty of Israel for a so much a trouble that this document can do to our country. He decided to reject all the ire he declared on that last Friday. And everybody is very happy in the Knesset, in the government. A lot of people that understand the meaning of that, and not just in Israel, in more countries. So we bless him. Of course, we support all the Knesset members. That was part of it. And the ministers, of course, say a MK that with us? It's she. She did amazing work in the parliament and MK Ariel Kalmar. They worked so hard on that and Rothman and so many parliament members and of course Moses that my colleague, I hope she is here and join us. And this good news.

Yehonatan Segev (Israel): [00:24:30] I just want to share my part. I will share the process. So my part is what exactly Reggie shared with in the 19 to July. This is it. After the 19, we don't have any option left. Israel is already a got out from this terrible, terrible amendment. That means on our freedom, on our sovereignty and our the most basic human rights, like everybody share. So we can do a lot. We have still time. There are always a time. And right now we have the time after tomorrow, after two days, we don't have the time. So right now, the responsibility, the responsibility is not on them. It's not on the ministers or the Prime Minister. The responsibility is on us. Yes. In each country there's 196 countries in the W.H.O. And the procedure a there's the amendments of the

air. There's the treaty, the new treaty, the pandemic treaty or the pandemic agreement. You can call the same. And of course, the procedure of dropped out from the WTO, just three different procedures right now. So if you are in the organization or if you're not, you must say no to the air and help the country to say no. And the way to do that is a we - Reggie and James Gorski and Philip Sousa and Alfred Schwartz and a lot of people, beautiful people that not exactly what we mean in about we say the dangerous we start a campaign together and Reggie will share the document right now to send this document, this letter to call all the prime ministers, all the ministers, all the Senate member, all the Parliament member, the relevant one to send them the letter and remind them the responsibility, the responsibility of the Parliament, because this is the Parliament responsibility to read and know disagreement and to understand disagreement, don't harm the sovereignty of the country and don't harm the sovereignty of the civilians.

Yehonatan Segev (Israel): [00:26:50] And the Minister of Health will also find this agreement is harm the sovereignty of the country. So if one health minister a recognize this, all the other ministers can understand it. So this is critical Because like any agreement, there's before agreement and after. And right now we before. So when we can act is now it's today Reggie will share a. The contact to the paper you can contact with me. There's a big team of a Tony's of a policy of the state. They can understand everything and about that and guide the parliament member, guide the minister what they can do. Right now, there's two options very important to option. The first option to do an emergency committee on that in the government committee or the cabinet.

Yehonatan Segev (Israel): [00:27:45] The parliament, you know, the relevant minister is the Minister of Health and Minister of Foreign Affairs, and of course, the Prime minister and the judge minister, and of course, a to do an open debate on that. And it's very simple, very simple. Just send a letter that says we reject the EIR until we decide otherwise or just reject it. This is very important even to the States, even to the United States, because if you remember Trump, Trump dropped from the W.H.O. and by then bring United States back. And this protects on legally not binding. So it's very important on that. And of course from other threats on the states, it's different on the other threats because the States was very the USA was very smart. They put out a call to say the states can draw from legally from the WTO. And this is very important. So I share with you this information. Please contact me. My phone number is free and accessible. I

admire you guys. You're amazing. Thank you for that. Thank you for what you're doing for humanity. There's no a price for freedom. There's no price for true liberty. There's no price for democracy. Democracy. Thank you for what you're doing. Sorry about my English, I love you. That's for me as well. Thank you, guys.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:29:13] Thank you very much, Jonathan. That what you and Heidi have done is is awesome. Next, we're going to have a video from a member of the Israeli Knesset. His name is MK Ariel Kallner. He is an Israeli politician affiliated with the Likud party, serving as a member of the Knesset since 2023, and he was a major force in getting the Knesset to withdraw from the International Health Regulation Amendments. His topic is: Welcomes the activity to preserve nationalism in inductivity that facing the power grab of the World Health Organization.

Hon MK Ariel (Arik) Kallner (Israel): [00:29:51] I warmly congratulate our Minister of Health, Mr. Uriel Buso, for his important and courageous, courageous His decision to reject the International Health Regulations amendments proposed by the World Health Organization. This is a victory for national sovereignty and democratic accountability. From the moment I became aware of the proposed regulations and their far reaching implications, I took action together with fellow members of Knesset. I led a series of discussions in relevant committees demanding transparency, oversight and, above all, the protection of Israel's independence in matters of public health policy through persistent pressure and coordinated and coordinated action. We succeeded in ensuring that the Israeli government would not surrender its emergency powers to an unaccountable international body. I would also like to take this opportunity to express my deep appreciation for Rachel Littlejohn and Sovereignty Coalition, who have been a source of inspiration and vital partnership in this global effort. Then, waiver and commitment to freedom and sovereignty has strengthened democratic resistance to international overreach. The cooperation between elected officials and civil society leaders across different countries, including the United States and Israel, is a powerful reminder that free nations must stand together in defense of liberty and sovereignty. Let this be a model for how determined citizens and legislators can unite to uphold the principles of sovereignty, even in the face of mounting global pressure. Thank you very much.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:31:53] We received a video from the member of the Knesset, Limor Melek. She is such an awesome lady. So she is an Israeli politician. She holds the position of Deputy Speaker of the Knesset and since 2023, together with other Knesset members, she has led an unprecedented, unprecedented effort in response to the expanding authority sought by the World Health Organization. And she. A great deal of the credit goes to her in terms of Israel's decision to reject the International Health Regulation Amendment. So I'd like to play her video now.

MK Limor Son Har-Melech (Israel): [00:32:34] I am from Otzma Yehudit party, deputy speaker of the Knesset and member of Knesset in Israel. Member of the Health Committee. I welcome this important international meeting and thank all my colleagues in the parliamentary industry around the world who are working on this issue. I also thank the Israelis Minister of Health, Mr. Buso, for his courageous decision to reject all of the new regulation of the IHH. As my friend from Israel, MK Ariel Connor, Heidi Moses, Yonatan Segev and many others have worked on, and we understand that there are problems in the relationship between the state and the organization. That is a fact. The minister declared that the there is a violation of sovereignty in the agreement there parliamentarians worldwide. This is a time to act. There is still time until the 19th of July. In Israel, there is a team that can help if you need. This is the Parliament's role to protect the interests of the state and the citizen well-being. Put forward your rights. Turn to Parliament. To government to promote your role. Use the mainstream statement from Israel. Israel is one of the most advanced countries in the world in health matters, and we expect that the organization should be a recommendation, not dictation. I hope Israel will join the US and Argentina and also withdraw from the organization that promotes more and more politics over health. We want clean collaborations, free from conflicts, free from interest. It is the responsibility of the states to ensure the health of their citizens. Thank you for coming to Israel and making an impact at the important committee in the Knesset. Thank you for what you are doing, what you are doing for humanity. I bless you from Israel wishing success to all of us.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:35:07] I would like now to announce or introduce a personal hero of mine, Jessica Rose. Doctor Jessica Rose. So she's got a Bachelor of Science in Applied mathematics, and she's got a PhD in computational biology and two post-docs in molecular biology and biochemistry. And she's also a surfer and a musician and just an overall awesome and very courageous person. So, Doctor Jessica Rose.

Jessica Rose PhD, MSc, BSc. (Israel/Canada): [00:35:36] Wow, thanks for including me. And I'm very honored to be among all of you people. I think this is the most important thing we can be doing at this point in time. I'm just going to read a few lines from a recent article I posted about the IHR amendments. It's entitled Replacing Human Dignity with equity and just some background for people who don't know. The 77th World Health Assembly adopted a package of amendments to the International Health Regulation, which was formulated or reformulated in 2005, on June 1st, 2024, to, quote unquote, strengthen global preparedness, surveillance, surveillance and response to public health emergencies. These amendments are considered significant and include provisions for equity. States parties committee and national authorities. David Bell, who's one of my heroes. He actually was former who in a recent brownstone publication wrote and I quote, it's important for me to quote him here. July 19th is the last day that member states of the World Health Organization can withdraw from the IHR amendments without entering a multiyear withdrawal process by failing to withdraw, they will be committing their taxpayers to fund the key surveillance aspects of a rapidly expanding industry that is the pandemic industrial complex. They will be required to set up an extensive network to search for well-established natural phenomena, including the tendency of viruses to mutate into variants. This has been a part of the natural world for hundreds of millions of years, but demonstrating it has recently become highly profitable due to a confluence of technological advances and intense marketing. I love this quote.

Jessica Rose PhD, MSc, BSc. (Israel/Canada): [00:37:28] I also have an immunology degree, and I can tell you that this this is absolutely what's been going on here. Something that people might not know about these international health regulation amendments, of which there have been three made since 2005, 1 in 2014, 1 in 2021 and 1 in 2024. They were adopted by consensus without a vote by the 77th World Health Assembly on June 1st. Specifically, most recently so. I didn't know that until I dug into writing this article. And I think that that's really important to address, especially considering that a lot of the people making the decisions in the background are unelected people. A couple of things I just want to point out that are really important for people to remember, and this is on the Who's website. In one of their question and answer answers pages, one of the questions is will the amended I give you the ability to forcefully impose health measures, lockdowns or restrictions on the populations of any

country. And the response that they have in black and white is no. Who will have no ability to impose any health measures, including lockdowns or other restrictions on the populations of any country. That is absolutely true. But they've already done it. They've demonstrated that this is absolutely what they plan to do by demonstration of already doing it, if you ask me. But it's important to remember that we are the only people who can decide what's right for us and everybody this time around, or the next time around can just say no.

Jessica Rose PhD, MSc, BSc. (Israel/Canada): [00:39:16] And another important, important aspect of that, and I'm going to also quote another question on the Who's website. What are the implications for States parties of the Who director general determining a pandemic emergency? And the answer is the determination of an event as a pandemic emergency serves as the highest level of global alert. And most importantly, it implies the issuance of temporary recommendations to states parties, and this is important, which by definition are not legally binding. To guide them in, in preparing for and responding to the pandemic. They mentioned this twice in their Q&A section on their website that these things are not legally binding. They are simply recommendations. And I don't think I have to remind everyone here that even though that was true before, humans treated other humans very badly because they assumed that all of this was legally binding, it never was and isn't. Now I probably don't have time, but in my article, I post a the statement by the Government of Israel at the final plenary, which I'll just summarize very quickly the reason why. And I'll love to all of you guys involved in making that happen. The rejection, by the way. Or is what I mean. Basically what the letter said was we didn't have time to read it. So if we if we don't know what's inside it, then we're not going to we're not just going to adopt it. And in the end, once the understanding, as Jonathan pointed out, was, was clear what these other amendments were going to entail, it was fully rejected.

Jessica Rose PhD, MSc, BSc. (Israel/Canada): [00:41:05] So way to go. And just one more thing. This this might shock people if they don't know this already. The 2024 2023 version of the proposed amendments that have been on the table as per the World Health Organization's International Health Regulation, quote unquote, summary of proposed amendments, includes. This still blows my mind. I've written about this twice, replacing the words dignity, Human rights and fundamental freedoms in the amendments to equity, inclusivity and coherence. Whatever that means. And the line

reads. This is exactly what it says in their document AD Equity, inclusivity, Coherence and Solidarity principles, either to replace the reference to dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms, or as an additional paragraph. So I think it's very clear that they have this in black and white. The intention is to replace these words. And that's exactly what Jonathan was saying when he said, once we understood, because once you understand what it means to remove dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms from the equation, you start having a pretty sordid idea of what might be to come if you keep inside or if you don't reject these amendments. So I could keep going, but I don't have time. Well done, Israel. And to all the other member countries who've rejected this and I, I strongly suggest you go to the website and pen a letter to your legislators, etc. and we have four days, so we still have time to opt out, and you have to actively opt out.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:42:57] Thank you. Doctor Jessica rose. Yes, you have to. Basically, your government needs to send a communication to the director general of the World Health Organization, I believe is how you do it. It doesn't have to be long. It's like, you know, my country opts out of the international health regulation amendments. Now, I see Heidi Moses in the lineup here. Heidi, do you want to say a word or two that you and Yonatan were going to share a time, but I don't know what happened exactly. With that, you want to say a word or two about all that you did as the mighty you know, lobbyists to the Knesset and if you have any tips to give people about how to run a successful campaign for your country to withdraw from the amendments, we'd love to hear it.

Heidi Moses (Israel): [00:43:41] I would love to. Reggie, we miss you here in Israel. And you came to our Parliament and you did so much different. So my name is Heidi Moses. I'm a social lobbyist in the Israeli parliament already 17 years. And I promote, like 19 laws, something like small, you know. And when Jonathan came to me with this story, I was shocked, like, I, I didn't believe at the beginning. And then he explained it so good. So I started to do what I know to in the parliament, and we were going around like four months together There, and we were looking for members to understand the problem. And at the beginning one is asking the other one, did you hear about the W.H.O.? Yes, I heard about the WTO, but it's nothing. The minister says it's fake. The health minister. So everyone was saying fake, fake, fake. And then Jonathan and me, we came and we showed them one after the other one. The truth. And you can't deny the truth. And after four months, when we were going around to one and another

member of Parliament, we found a member similar to mine. And it was so amazing because I've got to say, Jonathan was every time take to it, saw that he's angry in the kitchen. So it was starting to talk to him and telling him about this. And Jonathan did a really good job. And then I came to him and he's the head of the law and Justice committee. And he told them, like I told them. Did you hear about Jonathan, what he said? So I need you to like to take your committee, and we need to talk about this in your committee.

Heidi Moses (Israel): [00:45:56] So committee. So he started to ask me if I can find a six member parliament in the same day. So from 7:00 at the evening until 1230 at night. At night I've been signing six members. Okay. And we did it. We had four hours a committee in the law and Justice committee. And then we had three more committees in the health committee, and we saw how it works every time when Jonathan went like through the like near the health minister, I told him, don't talk to him. Do not talk to him. We are going to make it through the Parliament. And we did it. We did it big time because we didn't talk to him and we came through the parliament. After four months, he's coming and he's telling me, well, job, you did a good job, Heidi, that you that you told us about this because we didn't know anything. And it was it was it was standing within like ten minutes and showing me how important and what I said and how important it it's how important it's for him to keep of Israel. And we saw that when we build it. Right. As I said to Jonathan, we success. And thanks God that we had so many good people. Also value like Frank Gaffney that it was it was like in zoom talking in the, in the committee. And we had Philip Cruise, the lawyer, and we had you, Reggie, that you came 17 hours. It's not it's unbelievable what you do Reggie really and did this was what we saw that this makes the difference when we see you and when we stay together, all countries, we can we can win it.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:48:18] This is how you do it. There's no shortcut. Okay? Heidi and Jonathan and Sean, what you do is, number one, you have to know the documents. You need to be able to interpret the documents, and you need to be able to talk to Knesset members and convince them, show them the truth, or show them one by one by one and just be relentless. That's how you can turn this around. So thank you. We're going to now move on to Asia and we have Izumi Kamijo. I'm sorry if I mispronounce your name. Founding member of World Council for Health Asia and World Health Council for Health Japan. An activist, researcher and writer. And the title is Preparation

Status of Implementing International Health Regulations in Japan and other East Asian Countries. Thank you.

Izumi Kamijo, MSc (Japan): [00:49:05] So thank you very much for allowing me to be a part of this immensely important symposium. In a crucial time in human history. We cannot become aware enough of the fact that every step we do now has an impact on our immediate future as a human community on Earth. We are dealing with a global problem and therefore our responses need to be addressed globally. However, we are acting locally and bottom up seeking grassroots solutions. Each country faces its own challenges in a chess game of survival. The global is shifting the contingency plans in any given moment. For instance, Gates Foundation relocated its headquarter to Singapore recently and promptly the ruling party passed a law that makes it almost impossible to refuse vaccination in case of pandemic. You will be fined 5 to 10,000 SGD or imprisoned for 6 to 12 months. If you refuse to get vaccinated in Japan, we have a tight network of 33 W.H.O. collaborating centers and 19 centers of Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network, called Gorm, the Cabinet Center for Infectious Disease Crisis Management. Founded recently, acts as a command center in case of a pandemic, enabling it to become a permanent command center during a crisis.

Izumi Kamijo, MSc (Japan): [00:51:03] It has indeed declared to act. According to the International Health regulation of W.H.O. In comparison to Japan. Malaysia has only five WTO collaborating centers and two gold centers, whereas its neighbors, Singapore, a small city state, has 11 WTO collaborating centers and six governance centers. Indonesia, second largest country in East Asia after China, has only one WTO collaborating centers and two governance centers. It looks like that Japan and Singapore are selected for a hub for the globalists following the law of least resistance and economic capacity and industry. Should we have a new pandemic situation? We will face a much coordinated machinery coming towards us Then during the previous one the Japanese people are too patient and enduring, working hard without complaining. This word has indeed been misused in order to wake up to the population and make them have a look beyond the confined framework. We need to make people see what is happening around the world. It is time for a global awakening. We are helping each other to help ourselves in a global scale. We are raising the frequency of the mass consciousness. So let's keep up the good work. Thank you very much.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:52:59] The next up is Masako Naha, who is a journalist and external advisor for the Seito party. So she is a freelance journalist and Japan's Self-Defense forces reservist, and she represents the operating committee of the Citizens and People's Association for Correcting the Ryukyu Simple and Okinawa. I'm sorry, I'm sure I butchered those words. But anyway. Welcome, Masako. Naha.

Masako Ganaha (Japan): [00:53:35] Thank you very much. And I'm so honored to be able to join this summit. And I'm from Japan, and I'm an independent journalist. And today, I would like to talk about frontline information war in Japan. And I've been working with researcher scientists and a lot of volunteers in Japan to stop mRNA injections that are harming it's ongoing issue and also to stop amendment Meant for government to reject it, although the Japanese government seems to be not the one putting into the corner by the globalists. Actually, they are the one of the key puppet country government and leading the rest of the world into this global totalitarianism. I'm ashamed to say this, but that's how I view my government. And so Japanese people. We have been organizing massive rallies and a lot of petition and doing a lot of live streams and protesting on the street. But at this moment, we don't see any clear sign of Japanese government rejecting the amendment. So what people like myself are thinking is not it's not about government. And once they don't reject it. It's a final decision is up to individual people like us. If we decide not to inject, if we decide not to follow it, then their globalist plot is over. So that's a very important issue and mindset that we need to think about, because let's think about it. We call it the vaccine. It's not a vaccine and it's poison. And they killed millions of millions of people across the world. How can we expect them to play with little regulations to save us? We need to disregard the whole system. So it's very important to talk about regulations and opposite. But at the same time, it's important to talk about the legitimacy and how important it is to once again recognize we are the one to decide what to do with our body.

Masako Ganaha (Japan): [00:56:06] So because of this baseline thinking in Japan, actually rejecting self-amplifying job is actually very successful. As you know, Japan is the first government gave approval to self-amplifying injection. And they started it last year. But because of the massive campaign by the volunteers and doctors scientists in Japan, we warn people not to get it because it's very dangerous. And then what happened after the rollout of self-amplifying one? People didn't get it. So the pharmaceutical company, which are expected to sell a lot of it, couldn't make any, not

any but very small of what they expected. And so they decided to give us warning letters to sue us to stop talking about this giving, spreading the awareness. And they even sued one of the congressmen. His name is Kazuhiro Hara, and he's an active representative of parliament in Japan. But we have not stopped talking about because our way of doing this activity is very effective. So once again, what I want to address today is that even though the Japanese government or the government the country that you are from it's not up to them. It's up to us. So that's the one key point I would like to address. And so I think time is running up. So just let me mention one thing. We in Japan we have built 21 million injection database. And it's open to public. So you can study about the what's happening in Japan. And we can discover disclose what the government has been hiding. That's a huge move. Currently hot topic in Japan. Thank you very much for giving me this opportunity. Let's keep fighting. And we are. Let's get united.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:58:21] Yes. Thank you so much, Masako, for all your wonderful work. We now have Honorable Aneel Prasad Hegde, who is a former minister of the Indian Parliament.

Hon. Aneel Prasad Hegde, Former MP (India): [00:58:36] Respected panelists, organizers and viewers. At the outset, I thank Mr. Reggie Littlejohn, founder and president of the Anti-Globalist International, for timely organizing this international summit entitled Reject the W.H.O. and the globalist coup. I also immensely thank you for inviting me. First of all, a correction. I am not a former minister. I am a former member of Parliament in India. When I saw the invite, I thought I must not miss this summit. Also, because I had raised this issue in 2020 4th February in the Indian Parliament and subsequently followed it up in my correspondence with the Prime Minister and Health Minister of India. Para three of your invite mentions about article 12 that as a result of the recent approval by the pandemic treaty, provision for setting up pathogen access and benefit sharing system is made and it tells about the resultant risk it poses last year itself. In my letter to the government, I had mentioned about this issue. Article 12. With four days to go to reject the amendments to the I h r. The main concerns are erosion of sovereignty, absence of parliamentary debate, threat to constitutional rights. The new definition of relevant health products only includes the pharmaceutical drugs, diagnostics devices, gene and cell based therapies. There is no mention of vitamins, minerals, herbs, homeopathic, homeopathic remedies, or any other

natural solutions. There has been no after event review of the failures associated with the fraudulent use of the PCR tests. Ventilators. Drugs such as remdesivir and the viral vector and mRNA vaccines. Article one. The director general of the W.H.O. would be empowered to declare pandemic emergency based solely upon his determination.

Hon. Aneel Prasad Hegde, Former MP (India): [01:01:24] With no checks and balances, there is no procedure by which member nations can vote to terminate the declaration made by the Director-General. Articles one, 12 and 49 the W.H.O. Director General failed to properly submit the final version of the proposed amendments, with at least four months' notice, as required by article 55 cross two W.H.O., through its treaty and our amendments, hopes to become the ultimate authority on health in a one health approach, which would grant unchecked powers to the organization and extend that extend far beyond the realm of health encroaching upon areas of food economy, environment and individual liberties. Its provisions enable the W.H.O. to unilaterally declare pandemics, assume control over national governments, mandate medical procedures, and impose restrictions that violate citizens constitutional rights. The amendment I amended earlier deepens our concerns. They could lead to draconian measures undermining our national interests if accepted. It is important to note that the W.H.O., an external and unelected body funded by private interests and companies, will take over policymaking in the health sector and the achievements of our sovereign governments will come to a not while putting the health of our citizens at risk. Finally, I want to let you know that I'm deeply involved in this campaign and in this context. I have met parliamentarians in London, the Netherlands, Germany, Latvia other than India. My appeal to all sovereign nations is that they must be willing to exit W.H.O. and say so. My party, the JD, who is with the ruling coalition in the center in India and my party is one of the two biggest parties which is supporting this ruling party. Thank you.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:03:38] Thank you so very much for your courageous and persistent international advocacy. So next we are going to move from Asia and India to Who? Australia and New Zealand. Most of the people are going to be by video because it's very, very late in the middle of the night. Their first video is going to be from attorney Katie Ashby Coppins from Australia and New Zealand. She is a lawyer with P.J. O'Brien and Associates in New Zealand, and her topic is Engaging Governments to resist the International Health Regulation Amendments and the Pandemic Treaty.

Attorney Katie Ashby-Koppens (New Zealand/Australia): [01:04:17] Hello, everyone. Good morning. Good afternoon. Wherever you're checking in from. It's such a privilege to be here at the summit. I'm afraid I've had to pre-record to bookend. Really? What is the Australian Australasian Antipodes recording alongside Malcolm Roberts, Kirsten Murphy, Sue gray and Johnny Larder. It's a privilege to be with you here today, and I just want to really touch on a few things and experiences that we've had down here. And to highlight the importance of what the people movement can do. So I'm going to be finishing off today, or our section is going to be finishing off with Malcolm Roberts. Malcolm, thank you for being the senator that has stayed true on these topics. You're such a breath of fresh air. It's so good to hear that these things get discussed in Parliament because of you. And thank goodness for you doing everything that you do. Do. I just want to touch on a couple of things. The first of is that the Aligned Council of Australia, in the space of a year, has managed to amass and bring together 2 million Australians pushing back against the World Health Organization pandemic treaties, of which there are two. We're focused today on the International Health Regulations, an amendment to the 2005 regulations that were done in 2024. We've got until the 19th of July to reject those. Is why I am particularly concerned about the regulations is because they back end a lot of the power of decision making. And when countries say that there are no sovereignty issues around the way they're talking about the pandemic agreement and decisively, decisively so they can say that there's a provision in there that says sovereignty is not impacted.

Attorney Katie Ashby-Koppens (New Zealand/Australia): [01:06:09] But then you flip over to the regulations and there are issues with the regulations. The National Implementation Authority, I think is a huge issue. That's a body within Australia that will have to report back to the W.H.O. to ensure compliance, to ensure that we're inserting the requirements into our domestic legislation. And as I interpret the regulations, they also include provisions that are outside of a pandemic period. They include surveillance, which we can see with the missing disinformation bill that we've just managed to reject, but I can anticipate we'll come through with new government. The digital ID, which we are currently being quietly scanned for while we're on social media and while we're online to determine whether or not we're 16. We've got a lot of things that we're going to be coming down hard very quickly. And while America might be flying through on Teflon in a lot of ways these things are going to be happening out in the outer echelons such as Australia and New Zealand. I will allow the others to elaborate further. But in the

meantime, it's such a privilege to be here. I'm so proud of what we've managed to achieve in the space of a year. In less than a week, we've had people use our voter's voice tool, which is dub dub dub rejects. Who that's seen 9000 people send individual emails to all their politicians at two clicks of a button and the insertion of their postcode, and that has really created a lot of momentum.

Attorney Katie Ashby-Koppens (New Zealand/Australia): [01:07:53] The answers have been fascinating, the responses have been incredible, and I'm so proud of everybody that's taken apart. That's just 9000 people in the space of a week. That's separate from the 40,000 people that have signed the Mark Butler letter, which is being delivered tomorrow. Just to remind him who is in charge of who are. And it's definitely not the who. And yeah, it's amazing. It's so amazing to be with so many credible people doing incredible things. Congratulations, Israel. I'm so proud of you guys and what you've managed to achieve. Look, the argument is easy. Reject the W.H.O. regulations. We don't need them. Allow you. Empower yourself to make decisions for yourself. We know the last pandemic was not run at all well. Everything was overinflated with modelling unnecessary. The World Health Organization's recommendations change from evidence based recommendations for non-pharmaceutical interventions to politically driven ones. And that's a real shame. It's an organization that has been captured tragically. So it's not doing its job. It should never have taken private funding. And it really needs to return either to its core base or we need to have a massive rethink. So that's all I ask, is nothing's groundbreaking and what is being proposed? Let's reject the ideas and we can carry on and make decisions that are right for the country based on decisions made by people that are acting in the best interests of the country.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:09:29] Thank you very much, attorney Katie Coppins. That for your leadership and for your video. And now we turn to attorney Sue gray. From New Zealand. Now, I understand that it is 4 a.m. right now in New Zealand. So, attorneys Hugh Gray, you absolutely get the prize for the greatest sacrifice of sleep of all of the panelists. Thank you so very much. So attorney Sue gray is the co-leader of New Zealand Outdoors and Freedom Party. And her topic is fighting back against the W.H.O. Thank you attorney Sue Gray.

Attorney Sue Grey (New Zealand): [01:10:10] Sue Gray thank you so much. And thank you to everybody who's involved in this amazing event. And I just so am grateful to be involved. I have to be a little bit honest here. I'm actually in the UK at the moment. So it's 5:00 in the afternoon. It's not 4 a.m. in the morning in New Zealand. And this leads me to something very interesting. I travelled to UK via Africa and through Africa. I spoke to a lot of people about Covid and how it had been for them. And I got the most beautiful answer from a Maasai warrior. Hoo hoo! I asked them, I said, you know, you understand about Covid that it's been a big problem around the world. How was it in Tanzania? And he said, well, I don't think it's been here yet. And for me, that sums it all up, because what we've had is we've had a lot of mind control, but we haven't actually had a lot of real problems from Covid. We've had a lot of problems from the Covid response. So when you think about this issue with the International Health regulations, why would any responsible government want to hand over even more control to an organization that provided such bad advice throughout Covid? It absolutely It defies belief that we would give them more control over our individual bodies, our democracies, or anything else indeed, for that matter. I've done a lot of reading. I've been lucky. I've had a little bit of time away from New Zealand. I get a little bit overwhelmed because I've done a lot of the court cases there with Covid. I've defended myself and I've defended a lot of our health practitioners from the disciplinary proceedings for trying to do the Orthodox thing, which was promote informed consent.

Attorney Sue Grey (New Zealand): [01:12:07] But during Covid in New Zealand, as with many other countries around the world, trying to promote informed consent became labelled as misinformation and disinformation by our government. And it's been incredibly difficult practicing law in that circumstance where it was like everything that we were taught about law and everything we were taught about human rights, everything we were taught about the New Zealand Bill of rights. Our international rights got forgotten, buried and hidden away. But I've just found a book by John Pilger. The New Rulers of the world, which was written in 2002. And what he says, very interestingly, is globalization. He does a quote, globalization does not mean the impotence of the state, but the rejection by the state of its social functions in favor of repressive ones and the ending of democratic freedoms. So that was in 2002, in relation to the Iraq War and some of the other issues he was writing about. But if we look at it now, this is just another step in that same process. And again, the first step, of course, is to urge our Are states to not endorse these regulations and to pull back and to put in

opposition. We're having a lot of trouble getting our government to do that because Ashley Brumfield, who was our director general of health through Covid, who pushed the Covid response, was in our representative in the international health regulations, and he was one of the drivers of the whole thing. Our government's already accepted it, but our second and stronger response and our colleague from Japan who spoke earlier, I totally agree with her.

Attorney Sue Grey (New Zealand): [01:14:01] It's people power. If the government won't listen to the people, the government has no social license from the people. The remedy we have as people is to reclaim our democracy by not giving any power to our government. If they are not respecting democracy for us, we need to pull back and say, well, we are not handing over our bodily sovereignty, our minds, our consciousness, or any of our other fundamental rights as human beings to you. We are not slaves of the state. We are individuals. We are human beings and we have these fundamental rights. And so my message is that we must empower each other. We must speak to each other, raise awareness and do what they did in Tanzania. If you ignore it, it actually doesn't exist. So it's people power that will win the day. Until we can reclaim democracy. You know, as the Outdoors and Freedom Party, we strongly promote local people making local decisions, respecting that different communities have different values and may want different outcomes. And that is not anti-science. That is being human, that is respecting, that there is evidence, but there are also assumptions. And different people may choose to do things different ways. This whole globalization is the opposite of that. So I've got my other colleagues from New Zealand the amazing Kirsten Moffat, who's going to cover some more detail of the international health regulations. I just want to say it's up to us. Stand up, fight back, say no, and thank you everybody again for all the amazing work you're doing.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:15:46] All right. Thank you, attorney Sue gray. And I'm glad that it's not 4:00 in the morning where you are. All right, so now next up are going to be two activists, John Larter and Graham Hood from Australia, who have submitted a joint video here. They're the chairman of the Line Council of Australia. But anyway, they pretty much introduce themselves in the video. And, and they really talk about the horror story that's happening in Australia, which, and they and they ask for help. So I think it's important for the world to know really what's going on in Australia. So let's play that video now.

Graham Hood (Australia): [01:16:24] Well hi everyone from Australia. It's great to be involved in this summit. We wish we could be involved personally, but as you're all meeting, it's about 1 a.m. where we live and we're grateful to be able to give you this message from the Land Down Under. My name is Graham Hood. I'm a former Qantas pilot. There was a senior captain with Qantas, Australia's flag carrier, and in 2021, I rejected the vaccine mandates and lost my job as a result. I've become very vocal about it ever since, and it's brought me into contact with some amazing people. And also none more amazing than my friends sharing screen with me, John Larter. Thanks, Graham. And yeah, look.

John Larter (Australia): [01:17:08] I was a paramedic for 25 years with New South Wales Ambulance. I refused to take the jab and was sacked. My wife, also a nurse in the health system in New South Wales. Sacked. We took on the health department with the Supreme Court of New South Wales. But ultimately all the, all the opportunities fell away in the court system. There was no justice at all. And Graham and I have gone on to interview over 500 people on our podcast. You will be. We've interviewed specialists like Doctor Peter McCullough. We've just got off a zoom with Doctor Paul Merrick from the US. So we Charlie Teoh, doctor Charlie Teoh, we are interviewing so many people. About the vaccines, the injuries. We're interviewing, the injured those that have lost loved ones. The stories are horrendous, but then we also have the lockdowns and all the other stuff that went along with this madness here in Australia. And I'm I've been elected as the chairman of the Allied Council of Australia, and we've got a great board on that panel, and we are fighting against the Australian government's intention to sign those Ayers. And we are vehemently opposed to them. They will absolutely cause destruction and chaos for Australians. They will give the W.H.O. the opportunity to take over Australia in a pandemic, essentially throwing our sovereignty out the door. It's an absolute disgrace. What are your thoughts on that, Graham?

Graham Hood (Australia): [01:19:05] Well, absolutely it is, John, because we've seen, even without those laws, Australia become one of the most draconian countries in the world when it came to vaccine rollout and mandates. Melbourne, one of Australia's largest city cities, was lockdown for just under 300 days. It was the most lockdown city in the world. Protesters who were people everyday people were being fired at with rubber bullets by them, by the police who behaved like thugs. People were being

arrested and charged for being away from home. It was just the most. It was just the most criminal thing. Now that most of the world would say that if everything, if anything, goes pear shaped in the world, there are three countries you'd probably want to be in. One would be Canada, the other Australia, and the other New Zealand. And all three countries have got the one thing in common. World. Economic. World Economic Forum involvement. Marxist communist governments and leftist governments that are taking over and we are under a Marxist left leftist takeover around the world. It's been played out beautifully for the last few decades, and we've all fallen into it. And it's up to people like us to stand. We have to say no to the eye. We have to say no to the W.H.O. We have to say no to the United Nations. All these institutions.

Graham Hood (Australia): [01:20:27] We once put our faith in. They're all gone. We. They don't deserve our faith anymore. And we have to stand. And we are dedicated. We've interviewed, as John said, a more than 500 episodes we've done of our podcast club. We've interviewed former prime ministers high powered lawyers, doctors, scientists, researchers and the victims who have been decimated by this vaccine. This this so-called gene. That's a gene therapy. Let's be honest. These people have been victimized. They did what the government told them. They're suffering. The vaccine compensation scheme has been worthless and is now dissolved. There is no nothing to support these people at all. And while all this is going on, the political posturing to protect those who did the wrong is doing great harm. Because why? It's preventing doctors like Doctor Peter McCullough and Doctor Pierre Khoury and Doctor Paul Merrick and Doctor Angus Dalgliesh, Doctor Aseem Malhotra and so many others from getting working on the solutions, because our people are dying and they're dying because of gross negligence and absolute corruption. The IHR, if it's implemented, will do so much damage to our sovereignty. And it's just the thin end of the wedge. If we don't act now. We're going to be gone. So we just thank you all for all the work you're doing. And back to you, John.

John Larter (Australia): [01:21:52] Yeah. Look, finally, I just Absolutely encourage everyone to watch our interview with Doctor Astrid Zuckerberg, who is actually a panelist here on this forum. She absolutely nailed it. The corruption and madness that is going on at that World Health Organization beggars belief. The whole joint should be dismantled. And that's evident by President Trump signaling that the US is getting out of it. Israel now as well. New Zealand's flagged that they're not going to sign this. We've

got Argentina and I think Italy and a few other countries. So our weak prime minister, Prime Minister Anthony Albanese a communist is going to sign us up to this madness. And it beggars belief. We are in all sorts of trouble. I mean, as Woody alluded to there, you would have thought that you'd go to Canada, you'd go to New Zealand, you'd go to Australia for refuge in Christ as well. Now the crisis is here. We are in a state of absolute disrepair in this country. And we need help. We need help globally to get rid of these elitists. The people like Bill Gates to shut this all down so we can restore normality.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:23:19] All right. Well thank you John Larter and Graham Hood for the video and for letting us know how really horrible things have been in Australia. Next up is attorney Kristen Murphy from New Zealand. She is a principal attorney at KLM law, and she has led the citizens initiated referendum to terminate New Zealand's relationship with the World Health Organization. She is appearing by video.

Attorney Kristen Murfitt (New Zealand): [01:23:47] Hi everyone. It's Kirsten Murphy here, a lawyer from New Zealand. I'm sorry I can't join you on the live feed this morning, but by the time you guys go live, it will be 3 a.m. in New Zealand. And I have just got back from a two week road show to exit the Ho. So I've started a citizens initiated referendum, and I need to get 400,000 wet ink signatures from New Zealanders that are on their electoral roll. Now, if I get those 400,000 red ink signatures, which is a huge ask, it will actually trigger a referendum. And the beauty about this is it will force the referendum and force this into a national conversation. So every New Zealander that's on the electoral roll will have to vote. So at the moment, it's really hard for me to get my message out. But if I get the referendum, the media and everyone's going to have to talk about these issues. So millions and millions of New Zealanders will start to learn what many of us do know. But anyway, I just thought I would take a little step back. So New Zealand rejected the 1st December amendment in 2023, and that was partly well, it was due to people in New Zealand raising awareness and partly due to a parliamentary petition that I started, and we got 23,000 signatures in three weeks. I think it was 26. But anyway, Parliament did listen because it was a huge number in such a short period of time.

Attorney Kristen Murfitt (New Zealand): [01:25:16] So if we can get the 400,000 signatures and force a referendum, I'm pretty sure Parliament is going to listen as well

as we're going into an election cycle in New Zealand. But what concerns me is that the documentation that I have found about the International Health Regulations and the pandemic treaty talks about transparency and accountability. So here is a document negotiating the mandates from February last year. And it specifically says New Zealand should support clear and credible transparency and accountability obligations on the states and the Who. So I'm all for transparency. I'm all for democracy. But what really concerned me is that they opened up public submissions last February, and I wanted the latest copy of the amendments, because if I'm going to make a submission on the amendments, surely, I need to know what I'm submitting on. So I couldn't find the latest one. So I wrote to our government and did an official information act. It's sometimes called a freedom of information request in different countries. And this is what I got back. So here is the letter. And it says a copy of the latest International Regulations amendments 2005 is withheld in full under section six B2 of the act as it's released with prejudice information entrusted to the Government of New Zealand on the basis of confidence by an international organization. Now, does that sound very transparent to you? And I'm finding it very difficult to find any more information about what's happened since then.

Attorney Kristen Murfitt (New Zealand): [01:26:58] We will be going to a national interest test, but that is actually required when we enter a treaty like this. So before we realize that the agreement. For the wording of the pandemic treaty had been announced a few weeks before that, the government announced that we don't worry about the pandemic treaty because we're going to do a national interest test. So I think many New Zealanders believe that that was a really good thing and that, you know, we were going to be part of the process, but it's actually just a part of the standing orders, sorry, orders of cabinet. So it feels like, yeah, maybe we're a little bit hoodwinked on that one. So yeah, we need to keep raising awareness. And I'd love everyone to support the Citizens Initiative referendum petition, even if you can't actually sign in wet ink because you're not on the New Zealand electoral roll. You can help me get the message out there. It's very hard to get the message out there. Also, I've got a parliamentary petition for those that are outside of New Zealand, and that's an electronic one with the exact same thing. So I don't really mind how we get our message to Parliament, but we need to tell the New Zealand Parliament. We need New Zealanders to actually decide whether the Who serves our interests in 2005. Thank you very much.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:28:16] Thank you, Kristen Murphy. Next up is Senator Malcolm Roberts, who is an Australian politician with the One Nation Party. And his video is talk is entitled Resisting Governmental Overreach from Digital IDs to Vaccine Mandates.

Hon. Senator Malcolm Roberts (Australia): [01:28:34] In May of 2021, the United Nations World Health Organization released a report titled Covid 19 Make It the Last Pandemic. The report called for closer cooperation between nations and more power, more power for World Health Organization to coordinate and initiate that collaboration. In December 2021, the UN World Health Organization held a special assembly to consider a proposal for a pandemic treaty to give effect to their report. The proposal from the United Nations was a nefarious document. It proposed turning the World Health Organization into the World Health Police, with powers to compel member nations to comply with any directive from the Who. This could include forced vaccinations, forced medical procedures, lockdowns, border and national closures, business closures, school closures and the spending of huge sums of money on medical countermeasures. Those provisions were not a conspiracy theory. The proposal actually said in plain English, the Who should have the power to force medical procedures on citizens in member nations. It allowed the director general of Who to declare a pandemic at any time, for any reason, meaning the world would forever be under a pandemic order and the Who would forever be able to order these horrible anti-human measures. Fortunately, the 2021 Special Assembly failed to reach an agreement when a block of 42 African nations opposed the proposal, having been used for decades as a testing ground for disease and vaccine research, coming at a huge cost in African lives.

Hon. Senator Malcolm Roberts (Australia): [01:30:11] These nations were not signing up for more deaths. The outcome of the World Health Assembly in 2021 was to set in place a three year time frame for a pandemic agreement to be developed. A committee of Who luminaries was set up to review the proposal. These were many of the world's leading health experts who had worked with the Who for many years. Their wisdom shone through and they tore the proposed treaty to shreds, stating it would destroy support for the Who. Their staff did not want to become the World Health Police. They just want to work on improving health in underdeveloped member countries in the end. That's what prevailed. Multiple new drafts were produced across three years and given to a steering committee to test support, and each time failing to get the numbers. A new

version followed, which further watered down the compulsion and the destruction of national and personal sovereignty. A final version, a consensus document, was produced and passed at the World Health Assembly in May this year. Gone were 50 pages of nefarious provisions. Nothing that gave the Who powers of compulsion has survived from the original version. This agreement contains no compulsion on Member states. Wherever the wording says, a member States shall. It's always followed by a modifier, such as subject to national laws, having mined to national sovereignty, subject to financial resources, and so on. There are no binding provisions in this agreement beyond the need to advise the Who.

Hon. Senator Malcolm Roberts (Australia): [01:31:39] When a disease outbreak occurs that may be of national or international significance, which is a good idea after all. China sat on Covid for months in 2019 to give the billionaires time to hold event 201, and to craft a response that maximizes their financial benefit, a response which caused untold suffering and deaths around the world using fraudulent science, mass propaganda, and military coercion, a deadly response which was not designed to minimize suffering. Instead, the response was designed to maximize the transfer of wealth from everyday citizens to the world's predatory billionaires for complete clarity. This document's latest version is not what people are saying it is. There's no loss of Australian sovereignty and no new powers for the World Health Organization, no new powers that can be forced on a member state. Our political party, One Nation, of course, opposes the pandemic agreement and the changes to the international health regulations that implement the provisions of the agreement. For the simple reason, we do not accept there is a role in the world for these unelected, unaccountable, anti-human bureaucrats. This has always been one nation policy. In my first Senate speech in 2016, I called for an exit. Australia to exit the UN and in April 2022. Thanks to my diligent and knowledgeable staff team, I was the first Australian politician to oppose the pandemic. Treaty exit is necessary because the UN and their agencies, including the Who, have been hopelessly compromised by the world's parasitic, indeed predatory billionaires, who now gets most of its funding from entities tied to pharmaceutical companies.

Hon. Senator Malcolm Roberts (Australia): [01:33:19] In return, the Who mandates those companies medical products? It's classic crony capitalism. Naked wealth transfer from the people. It's theft by centralizing power in the hands of unelected foreign

bureaucrats. We've made the buyout of the UN easier for all the people they need to compromise to become the de facto owners of the world, are in the one spot, pretending to act on our behalf while actually lining the pockets of their billionaire parasitic benefactors. These people are not the good guys. These people are your prospective owners. Make no mistake. Our health authorities and our politicians have signed up to this agenda in the next pandemic. They will do the same nefarious, destructive, murderous policies again. And this time they will say the who made us do it? Well, the truth is that the Who is not making anyone do anything. These people are choosing to behave like this because it's good for their power, their egos and their careers. The UN and its agencies are in darkness and cannot be saved. When nation calls for a withdrawal from the World Health Organization, from the United Nations, from the World Economic Forum and from the world Bank. Thank you. I wish you a successful conference.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:34:28] Okay, so I think that it's fair to say now that there's a range of opinions here, and I appreciate Senator Roberts and his views. My views on some of this differ. And I would ask people to go to Anti-Globalist International and look at the resources page on the World Health Organization for sort of chapter and verse on the ways that that the current draft or the draft of the International Health Regulations that passed is extremely problematic. But anyway, I think that he makes a good point in the sense that even if things are not coercive people who want to will view them as, as coercive and implement them that way. So anyway, thank you very much, Senator Roberts. And now we are moving over to Europe. And also, we have one person from South Africa. Our first up is Doctor Astrid Stackelberg, who I met in Geneva last year at the World Health Assembly. Extremely lovely and brilliant person. She is a W.H.O. whistleblower, a former un W.H.O. employee and consultant and research and Training director at the University of Geneva School of Public Health and the Swiss National Science Foundation. Her topic is the W.H.O. treaty and the amendments are illegal and unconstitutional. Thank you, Doctor Berger.

Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger MSc PhD PD (Switzerland): [01:36:00] Thank you. Great to be here. Great to be with everyone. Up to Australia. I'm so happy they're with us. And I'm going to talk on behalf of a group of lawyers 16 lawyers and an attorney at Law and Rhino with whom we have. And Dexter from South Africa, which I have challenged last year when we met for that meeting, I challenged them to see how we could put in to put

up to, to show better the, the massive violation of the international instruments that the United Nations has put in place and that W.H.O. itself has put in place. So I'm not going to read this declaration called declaration on the Principle of State Sovereignty and the incompatibility with the negotiating text of the new W.H.O. Pandemic Agreement and amendment of the air. But I want to say that there are much more countries now Mexico, Argentina, Ecuador, Panama, South Africa, and then in Europe France, Germany, Italy, Belgium and Switzerland. So I represent them a little bit. So that makes it expanded and I will send them the video. So what I want to say is that, yes, it's interesting to just reject a text, but the danger with that is, you know, that there are so many systematic, fraudulent events scientifically and at the international public health and public law level that we cannot just say, oh, I reject the amendments. So I'm going to give you three key points where it is like the senator said like John and Graham. And Katie said we have to reject massively not just our amendments but the treaty and every activity.

Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger MSc PhD PD (Switzerland): [01:37:57] How can we accept even a text when the corruption is so blatant within the agency? That's the key point. So I don't have much time, so I will go straight to the main points. Number one, the force in function and mechanism of W.H.O. within the United Nations and with United Nations. So they are actually violating the charter of the United Nations, the Universal Human Rights Commission, Declaration of Human Rights. But in W.H.O., the W.H.O. constitution and the international regulation itself of 2005, which we were teaching up to 2014, so I'm surprised to see another version. I don't think there is one adopted except the one next year. So indeed, we can say that member States have not given their agreement, number one, for any transfer of competence to matters of public health. Normally, every country decides for an epidemic it makes with its own criteria. And then if they want to be part of a pandemic when it is just a region or it is local, you cannot just go and say the pandemic. So number one. There is no time for a mention of shared or exclusive competence for the benefit of W.H.O. Director-General or W.H.O. Secretariat for Governing bodies directing a pandemic, directing an epidemic. And we have not given them the authority, direct order or prescribed by domestic law or policies of any party. Or we have not mandated them to stop travelers, to impose vaccination mandates, to make diagnostic measures and therapeutic new ways with PCR who are a total fraud. Or implement lockdowns. So the article 24 of the even the pandemic treaty

recalls the independence and sovereignty of member states, which cannot be subject to a supranational body.

Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger MSc PhD PD (Switzerland): [01:39:52] In fact, they made a change in either amendment, the new version, and there is nothing binding anymore. So they know very well what they're doing. So that was number one. Number two. That would be very clear. It is absolutely essential for us to verify the impact of public health measures proposed by any measure of W.H.O. on the rights and freedom of citizens, of the national authorities and the national text and the Constitution. And this has been violated massively from I mean, I have lists and lists of how much they have violated everything we are seeing. Definition of pandemic can have not been really clear as a definition of epidemic is very clear normally in science. The definition of case studies. The end of an epidemic and an epic curve. The definition of an emergency and what type, and the duration and the exposure and the health risk. And the health characterization of any pathogen. And not just a virus, which has never been proven. And I want to say I was in charge of the case studies. And there are much more important things like foodborne and waterborne diseases, parasites, bacteria. Then there is chemical and there is radioactive elements. And the radioactive I would add biotech weapon. And this is what I see today in this. So number two human rights has to be compatible with our basic human rights natural law. You know that because we talked about it.

Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger MSc PhD PD (Switzerland): [01:41:21] And with the Constitution number three might be the most important and blatant is that the amendments of air would commit the finances of member states, thus providing guarantees in favor of foreign states, which implies that it could only be ratified by virtue of law in accordance with the state constitution. In our case, in any case, the member states have to look for their articles. So consequently, the amendments of HR cannot be adopted as they stand without undermining. They cannot be adopted as they stand, undermining the sovereignty of any state. We would also like to draw your attention. And that's very important, because nobody mentions that to the fact that the problems of conflicts of interest with Bill gates, with the Rockefeller Bill Gates is in charge of the global vaccine plan from 2010 on in W.H.O. Do we know that? So the problem of conflict of interest, which were highlighted in the report, 12,283, entitled the handling of the H1n1 Pandemic More Transparency needed by Mr. Paul Flynn dated 7th of June

2010 has to date not been resolved. We cannot accept a text if we have not resolved the scam of H1n1 and the one of Covid. So therefore this conflict of interest in the governance is opposite to our Constitution. The interest of the private sector goes against the interest of the population and we the people, and therefore we have we had already concluded that in our text is that member states can no longer be bound to W.H.O., to the Constitution, to the ear, to even the UN, because the UN is like the father, mother of the W.H.O.

Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger MSc PhD PD (Switzerland): [01:43:19] So they have accepted they are accomplice of that. So be bound to the W.H.O. constitution while private partners guide this institution. Nor can we be bound to the UN, which does exactly the same with many agencies. And as it stands. We had affirmed last year. But we can affirm everybody can do that. Any action adoption signed undertaken through W.H.O. or United Nations and Nation on behalf of Member States and their populations will be contrary to international law, national law, and will violate the sovereignty of Member States and peoples natural law. And therefore we must not consent. And I agree, we must exit, dismantle, because everything is too corrupted. Since the first UN after the First World War and rebuilt something completely new with transparency and with the people. That's it. It's important. I want to say they make copies, not just write to Tedros, because Tedros is just an employee of the United Nations. So Tedros would copy to the secretary general to the head of the Security Council, which is the head of the Kraken. That's what I show in a John and Graham podcast. And then you have to also copy your Ministry of Health and your president, your prime minister, make an email, copy it, send it. But that's how I think we the people are doing things. Really, it's this summit is important because it shows the way to be global. We together can talk on behalf of our groups and I hope with more people would agree with that.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:45:04] Thank you very much, Doctor Berger. Next up is Sven Roman from Sweden, Swedish pediatric and adolescent psychiatrist and opinion leader who founded the doctor's appeal. And his title is The Totalitarianism of the W.H.O.

Sven Roman (Sweden): [01:45:21] I'm very honored to be here. My name is Sven Roman. I am a child and adolescent psychiatrist and together with two fellow doctors, I launched the doctor's appeal in 2021 as the Swedish counterpart to the Great Barrington Declaration, which had been launched in autumn 2020 by renowned

professors including Professor Martin Condor, who is Swedish. Like the Great Barrington Declaration, the call from the doctor's appeal criticized the official Covid strategy and not least, the direction and actions of the W.H.O. The W.H.O. has never been an evidence based, nor a democratic organization. In 2009, during the swine flu epidemic, the W.H.O. secretively changed the definition of a pandemic, removing the most Essential criterion of high mortality. The current director General Tedros. Gabriel Jesus, is the first leader of the organization who is not the medical doctor, and the proportion of doctors and medical researchers in the Who's management team has been steadily declining for more than two decades hence is. It's not surprising that the W.H.O. Covid recommendations were largely unsupported by scientific evidence, but political and controlling in nature, and as exemplified by the Swedish response, which radically diverged from the W.H.O. recommendations. They did not work and caused more harm than good, particularly to the young and the and vulnerable in our society. It is not surprising, then, that the W.H.O. proposals for both the new pandemic Treaty and the new International Health Regulations are also not evidence based in any sense of the term. They want our nations to grant them power to declare global pandemics without consent. Expanded authority for the W.H.O. Director-General to declare emergencies unilaterally, mandatory quarantines, forced medical exams and vaccination and surveillance and censorship, etc. it is my firm opinion that all countries should follow the example of the United States and of Argentina and leave the W.H.O. International agreements on public health are important. But these agreements must be based on solid, solid scientific evidence and clear agreements between all stakeholders, not recommendations that are essentially dictated by those that could by influence within the W.H.O. and use it for their own purposes. Thank you.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:48:52] Thank you very much. We have had a request here from John Cage and Deputy Ricardo Arruda from Brazil that they want to go next. So we try to honor it when people, you know, have scheduled conflicts and need to go at a certain time. So. Deputy Ricardo Arruda is a Brazilian deputy in the Parana State assembly since 2014, has championed conservative causes, notably preparing or proposing legislation against mandatory Covid 19 vaccination and addressing food transparency. And then John is an activist in Brazil for the World Council, Council for health Brazil. So, deputy Ricardo Arruda and John Cage, the floor is yours.

John Kage (Brazil): [01:49:41] Okay. Thank you. Reggie. Andrea, I want to congratulate the organization. Maria. Hello. All my friends here. So I wanted to do step to stage deputy who is from Parana state. In my opinion, he's the most important politic and activist against, you know, the Covid mandates. He created an approval law in his state against the Covid jabs mandate and also for kids masking in the school. And now since 23, we are in a fight here only it is here we. We provide. We made it 15 public hearings in small cities, in city council chambers, in state assembly. Twice with international doctors. So I want to thank all the international doctors for support. So, doctor please, your first word. But in Portuguese, I will not translate. Okay, I'm gonna translate.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:50:46] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:50:56] Congrats, organizations and the participants. And who's watching against this? And congrats against this big, fast and easy agenda.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:51:08] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:51:14] Third time elected by people in sequence are vaccinated.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:51:22] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:51:30] We have been fighting over three years against this Covid. It's not a vaccine. Instead, it's a gene therapy experimental. The mandate over the kids here in Brazil, because many here now here in Brazil, we got a mandate for six months, babies under five years old, kids forgetting the school.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:51:50] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:52:00] A low risk group. And for this age, that's a low risk group. So there is no reason for. We got mandate here in Brazil, but was not.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:52:12] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:52:21] We all know this vaccine is safe and efficacy. And this is causing a lot of harms, you know, side effects over the kids, the children's and babies.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:52:33] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:52:45] Is the author of the law in his state against the Covid mandate and just keep the, you know, the job for many, many people in his state.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:52:55] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:53:03] We are living in hard times here in Brazil, political scenery. And we got, you know, some troubles with If United States because this president mandate.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:53:14] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:53:25] Brazil now its partner from you know, countries with tyrants. Dictatorship is not supporting countries like, you know, Hamas supporting Hamas.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:53:34] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:53:40] People are so, so worried about the support for from this government because, you know, our former Minister of Health and Minister of Environment last year in Davos, they announced the protagonists of 2030 agenda. So this is a globalist plan leftist plan. And we all worry about that.

Hon. Deputy Ricardo Arruda (Brazil): [01:54:03] [Speaks in Portuguese]

John Kage (Brazil): [01:54:15] Thank you everyone and say we are here just because that's a condemned president should be arrested. Yeah, he was removed from the jail and he wants to thank you everyone. And I want to thank all the freedom their parents from Brazil and the kids, all the, you know, support for Andre and Maria Jessica, many others from are here. So thank you very much for supporting our future in Brazil for our kids. Thank you.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:54:43] Thank you so very, very much for joining us. We really appreciate it. Shabnam Palesa Mohamed is an attorney from South Africa. She is the co-founder and CEO of Transformative Health Justice in South Africa, the chair of the steering committee of the Children's Health Defense in Africa. And then She has various other titles co-founder of the African Health Independence Solidarity Alliance and co-chair of the Law and Activism Committee of the World Council for health. So we look forward to her video, which is entitled the W.H.O. in Africa Global Health Implications.

Shabnam Palesa Mohamed (South Africa): [01:55:23] The best strategies are always multi-pronged. My name is Melissa Muhammad and today I will be highlighting non-ratification of treaties that are a serious risk to our personal freedoms and national sovereignty in respect of the W.H.O. and the I amendments. So of course we have a few slides to share with you in this presentation. And again it focuses on the W.H.O. in the I amendment. Some good news. Please look at the open letter to those who are collaborating with the W.H.O. through what are called collaboration centers. You'll find that on the website. World Council for health. Course, we know the oligarchy runs the world through these various institutions, which ultimately tend to benefit corporations behind the scenes. But how many reasons do we need to question the W.H.O.? From conflicts of interest and corruption to crimes against humanity, including the sexual abuse of the women of Africa? The United Nations is expected to follow the rule of law in terms of laws that are promulgated and processed through this institution, but if you look at the website, you will see global governance highlighted 4996 times. Which brings us to public participation. There's a lot to be said here, but public participation is central to governance and to making international cooperation a reality. Doesn't happen. Civil society does not have a seat at the table. Moving on to ratification, there's as a strategic barrier to our amendments, the new pandemic Treaty and other international agreements. Ultimately, the IHR amendments are not binding unless they've been ratified or approved nationally through a process where countries can reject, delay or opt out. We know the Windows July 2025 to reject the amendments.

Shabnam Palesa Mohamed (South Africa): [01:57:18] We know they come into effect in September. But all is not lost. You'll read more about ratification as the strategy in the pandemic treaty article on World Council for health. Now in South Africa, the section that deals with ratification of international agreements is section 231. I'll highlight briefly

the case Dar versus the minister, which underscores the need to follow legal steps. Ultimately, international agreements have no force in effect without approval. What is the process? Cabinet. Parliament to house approval? Of course, civil society and ethical members of Parliament can resist implementation. In that case, Dar versus the minister. Ultimately, it was questioning whether the power of the national executive to negotiate and signed treaties includes the power to withdraw from such a treaty without prior parliamentary approval. Spoiler alert the answer is they require the process so their section 231. In terms of international law. National executive requires prior parliamentary approval in bold text. The National Executive did not have the power to deliver a notice of withdrawal without obtaining prior parliamentary approval, and so therefore section 231 two of the Constitution was in fact violated. The case turned on the separation of powers. The proper interpretation of section 231 withdrawing from international treaties without parliamentary approval. And of course, in summary, that decision was held to be unconstitutional and invalid. Holden making that decision. Recommendations. Many are and they are many more. But of course, we recognize our duty to challenge globalist institutions and to demand transparent governance. Course, we work continuously on empowering people with awareness and with actions, including filing, for example, Fire or FOIA applications, as we did here in South Africa on the IHR Amendments and Pandemic Treaty.

Shabnam Palesa Mohamed (South Africa): [01:59:17] In conclusion, very short presentation. The H.R. amendment centralized power. They undermine sovereignty and rights. Action is not only essential, but it's possible. Block ratification at a national level. That's how we take our power back, or it's part of it. Few additional resources to bear in mind. The WTO is alleged to have threatened delegates at the World Health Assembly in 2024. In terms of the amendments, and there is an article I've written on, can the W.H.O. in United Nations impose sanctions on your country for non-compliance? My view is yes. Please read that article in 2023. Of course, we've done so much in the last 4 to 5 years, including events like these highlighting that the UN wants standing authority over ten emergencies as defined by them, including wars and nuclear events, including, of course, pandemics. But it's not over yet. Multi-pronged pronged strategies politically. Personally, systems change economics and using the law. Very important resource the legal brief. Find it on the website. Preventing the abuse of public health emergencies and lawful criteria to declare a state of emergency. We need to know these criteria backwards and in every possible language. Closing with a very powerful

cartoon there showing the role of the oligarchy currently in controlling our lives through various institutions and in conclusion, thoughts, questions and ideas. Let's keep the conversation going. You can reach out to me on Shannon at the WC for H, and I want to stop the PowerPoint there and say, thank you very much for the opportunity. The power of the people is greater than people in power.

Reggie Littlejohn: [02:00:59] Thank you to Shabnam for her contribution there. Next we have Dr. Beate Sibylle... I'm sorry. I don't know how to pronounce this. Pfeil. An independent researcher, former Council of Europe expert. And she will be speaking about whose dangerous plans and Germany's fatal key role.

Dr. Jur. Beate Sibylle Pfeil (Germany): [02:01:26] Thank you very much, Reggie. And yeah, the pronunciation of my last name is still very difficult for English speakers. So, dear friends and colleagues, dear members of the human family gathered online. First of all, a big thank you to Reggie and Andrea for this important event. I'm particularly pleased that an international alliance has been achieved here today. We need this more than ever. I would like to briefly address the dangerous W.H.O. plans and then particularly Germany's fatal key role. We are all aware of the W.H.O. existing systemic failures. My esteemed colleagues have already pointed this out several times, so I will only briefly repeat the most important points. The W.H.O. is already a highly non-transparent organization characterized by felt and corruption, as well as external control by pharmaceutical companies directly or indirectly. In the absence of an independent supervisory body, the W.H.O. director general can Arbitrarily declare health emergencies at any time and recommend far reaching restrictions on freedom or on this basis. We all know the dangers posed by the amendments to the International Health Regulations adopted in 2024, and also by the pandemic agreement that has not yet been Fully adopted in 2025. We all know that the annex is still missing, especially in their interaction. The two treaties increase the danger of arbitrariness, external control, and thus the danger to our freedom and health, self-determination, and to life and limb. It remains to be hoped that the adoption of an annex to the so-called pathogen an access and benefit sharing system, and that's the whole pandemic.

Dr. Jur. Beate Sibylle Pfeil (Germany): [02:03:51] Treaty will not succeed. My colleague Doctor Maria, who is also present here, and I took part in some of the side events at the World Health Assembly in May and on this occasion also heard doubts

about the realization of the pandemic agreement. A glimmer of hope. So what role does Germany play in this context? The answer could be short a very fatal role. Germany has been one of the leading donors to the W.H.O., and not just since the US withdrawal in 1920. Now, in 20 2223, around 3.5 million USD went to the W.H.O. In addition, there are earmarked funds of an as yet unknown amount that have flowed directly from the Robert Koch Institute to the W.H.O. This institute is a government agency responsible for infection control and is subject to directives by the government. Germany has also contributed almost 35 million USD to the W.H.O. hub for pandemic and epidemic intelligence that was established in 2021, in Berlin. And then, last but not least, since 2023 alone, almost 700 million USD of German money has also flowed to the Gates Foundation, which is also known to be one of the particularly questionable earmarked donors. Incidentally, all statements by the German parliament and governments also indicate that my country in particular is trying to secure a leading role without disorganization. I am thus grateful to representatives of all German political parties who actively opposing the AU's plans.

Dr. Jur. Beate Sibylle Pfeil (Germany): [02:06:15] I would like to make a very personal comment at this point. I have always been proud of my country in the past, proud because it has also faced up to the problematic part of its past, and proud because the German Basic Law, the Constitution, has established a seemingly stable system of human rights, democracy and the rule of law. Only to then have to experience in the Covid era at the latest. How fragile the system can become. When a W.H.O. uses cognitive warfare and is supported by the UN and also the EU to realize plan damages. I was particularly appalled to see how the resist the beginnings principle, in whose spirit I also grew up, was and is being turned into its opposite through political abuse. Hence my and our appeal. This is not just about the W.H.O. It is about a definite stop to authoritarian and totalitarian developments, a stop to the total power grab initiated by the W.H.O. and other powers. What is at stake is nothing less than the preservation of our ancestral, inalienable human rights. Generations before us have fought hard for these ideas. The war and its plans must be stopped. By all democratic means. All of us gathered here. Hold fast to the vision of a better world in which human dignity, freedom and physical integrity. Once again take their rightful place. Thank you for all your valuable work. Together we will bring about change.

Reggie Littlejohn: [02:08:19] Thank you so very much. Attorney Pfeil. Okay. Our next speaker is Dr. Maria Hubmer-Mogg from Austria, the founder of the DNA party in Austria. Holistic. She's a holistic physician and a public speaker, and her topic is leading the resistance using your voice to fight corruption. Thank you.

Dr. Maria Hubmer-Mogg (Austria): [02:08:45] Thank you so much to everybody. Thank you, Reggie. Thank you, Andrea Nazarenko. Thank you for organizing this. And I have to say, I was following the whole event now on the live stream. And I have to say, I'm very honored to be here in this call with all of you guys fighting around the world and pointing out so many important issues and topics that we as people, as people who showed up within grassroots movement over the last years, can use the very important information that especially our scientists and legal experts share here with us to inform, to inform our politicians, to inform our fellow human beings. And I thought to myself, while I was listening to the speaker who came before me, that I couldn't be happier in this point of time to be with such strong people who are alive with me in this time in, the world right now. And it's better than being with your favorite singer or Hollywood star, because I think you are the real superheroes in the world. And I think so much everybody, every one of you and everybody who is listening and who takes a stand, who goes around with petitions from door to door to inform their neighbors, their friends, their families. Thank you so much. It's so important. What I want to bring up today is especially the situation in Austria, because doctor and myself, we had a meeting with Doctor Sylvia Behrendt, who many of you know, and she pointed out to us just a couple of days ago that we have a special situation in Austria that I want to make public within this special summit.

Dr. Maria Hubmer-Mogg (Austria): [02:10:22] And it is very important that the people, especially our politicians in Austria, know this. And what many people don't know, the IHR is an international treaty. This wasn't claimed by critics. No. It was officially confirmed on May 7th, 2025, in the Federal Council's EU committee. And this is precisely where the scandal begins. According to the Austrian constitution, such as multilateral treaty, such a multilateral treaty must be approved by the National Council. Only then it is legally valid. But this approval was never obtained within the Austrian parliament in first place, not in 2005. Not now. When the interventions are said to become even more far reaching, and this despite the fact that even the government itself admits that this is clearly an international treaty. We are not talking about just any

administrative act here. We are talking about a set of rules that deeply interferes with our national sovereignty in health matters freedom of movement, health care and even state emergency measures. And yet the National Council was never consulted. No democratic vote, no open discourse, no legally binding resolution. This means that the EIR never became legally binding in Austria under international law, and the upcoming amendments can't become legally binding either if this constitutional foundation continues to be ignored.

Dr. Maria Hubmer-Mogg (Austria): [02:11:55] And now comes the crucial point Austria has, as all of the other countries until July 19th, 2025, to officially object to the new amendments. Only with these objections, we can prevent the changes from automatically applying to us, regardless of any democratic consent. But what is the government doing? It remains silent. It takes away. It places itself above the law and the Constitution, and knowingly accepts that the key decisions about our health will no longer be made in Austria, but in Geneva. This is not an oversight. This is not an oversight. This is a calculated breach of the rule of law, and it is our duty to state this loudly and unequivocally. We therefore demand the immediate convening of a National Council session on this issue. Clarification that there can be no binding commitment to the New Year without parliamentary approval, and Austria's formal objection by July 19th, 2025, at the latest. The rule of law is not optional. Sovereignty is not negotiable, and democracy needs courageous voices, especially when they are uncomfortable. Thank you so much for all of your uncomfortable voices out there, and I'm really honored to be part of this group. Thank you to all. Thank you.

Reggie Littlejohn: [02:13:11] Thank you very much. Okay, so we move now from Europe to the Americas. And the first person is Doctor Sheila Furey, MD. She is the president of the Virginia medical Freedom Alliance and a practicing psychiatrist in Richmond, Virginia. And her. The title of her talk is Pulling Back the Curtain on Beneficence.

Dr. Sheila Furey, MD (USA): [02:13:34] Thank you very much. I'm delighted to be here. And it's a truly an honor. And I want to thank not all of you, not only each of you, but also the people behind the scenes who have done the deep dive into pulling documents and reading through attachments to bring us all the educational material that we've needed. I want to begin to by saying we must follow the money. The World Economic

Forum is working directly with the United Nations, the W.H.O., the global conglomerates, the financial sector, the medical industrial complex and the education system to implement the agenda 2030 and their goals of sustainable development. The goal is to make us a global society controlled by global corporations. Tracking our data and every movement, in particular our financial movements and our health care. The financial conglomerates and global networks directly profited in Covid 19 from the lockdowns, the business and school closures and the restructuring of our lives. These corporations aligned with the medical industrial complex to seek even more draconian control under the guise of sustainable development, human and planetary well-being. And additionally, one health. One health conceptualizes human beings, animals, and the environment as morally and ethically equivalent. However, human beings will be held accountable for any impact on animal life or the environment. Remember, some are more equal than others. This allows the government medical industrial complex to determine interventions. They have the power to limit medications. As we saw with ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine, they have the ability to limit treatments and life to those it deems unworthy and costly. Limitation of life will be either through direct euthanasia or indirectly through withholding or withdrawing treatments. All of which is happening now under the umbrella of choice, a choice often made without all the information, through coercion and without family involvement. This, coupled with unlimited abortion and infertility that is directly related to safe and effective vaccines and sterilization.

Dr. Sheila Furey, MD (USA): [02:16:27] Clearly reflects the globalist agenda to depopulate the world. The amendments to the IHR and the Pandemic Agreement are specifically designed to legalize, normalize, and effectuate the means to promote a transition to a new world order with the sharing of pathogenic agents. They seek to intimidate the world again using fear and endless propaganda. They will offer their cure and only their cure, as they curtail our rights and freedoms by controlling our access to money, movement and medical measures, mass vaccination, limited medications, quarantine, electronic health passports and digital monitoring of every movement again, all under the umbrella of providing health. Why now? The global financial system that has worked for the last half century is coming to an end. We have unsustainable debt and endless spending and a shrinking, fragmented world population to sustain themselves. They seek to acquire and control the data, our data, our finances, our movement, what we have access to and what we do not have access to. They seek to

be the puppet masters. This nihilistic plan will be the destruction of liberty, freedom and humanity. However, we do not have to play into their hands. We must take the time to form networks of communities that will sustain us during difficult times. We must partner with those within our community for food, health care, education and skills, taking control of our lives and protecting our children and ourselves from the industrial complex begins by saying no. Saying no. With the knowledge that we as we throw sand in the gears by our non-compliance, we empower others to do the same. We and all of you are an empowering group that has empowered me, and I am most grateful to each of you. Thank you.

Reggie Littlejohn: [02:19:01] Thank you, Doctor Furey for your remarks. Next up is Doctor Katrina Lindley. She is the president of the Global Health Project and founder of the Lindley Medical, Lindley Medical and a Senior Fellow of the IMA. And a major force courageous doctor in the medical freedom movement. I you know, I've been working with her very closely over the last several years and she's just a national treasure and my national treasure from Croatia. Her topic is how the year in the pandemic treaty threatened the physician patient relationship. Thank you, Doctor Lindley.

Dr. Katrina Lindley, DO FACOFP (USA): [02:19:49] Thank you Reggie, and thank you everyone for being here today. Before I address that, I would like to say that everyone is aware that our United States and our president has issued an executive order this January to leave the health organization, and we are going to be done with that process in January of next year. And while we are waiting to do that, obviously it's very important for United States administration to reject the International Health Regulation amendments. I do believe that HHS Secretary RFK junior will do so based on the comments that he has made in the past. But we do have next few days to encourage our friends around the world to do the same, not only to reject the amendments to international health regulation, but also to do what we have done - start the process of exiting the World Health Organization. And why is that important? We all have been here together for the past several years, because the World Health Organization came together to say that we need a pandemic treaty, and they went to many different versions of it, and the same in international health regulation amendments. So what Doctor Jessica Rose said, what Doctor David Bell wrote and what Alex Newman said earlier today is all true. These different versions of the amendments initially wanted to be legally binding and recommendations were not going to be voluntary. they were

going to be binding recommendations. And those recommendations over a period of time has changed from the binding to non-binding.

Dr. Katrina Lindley, DO FACOFP (USA): [02:21:27] They have taken the words dignity out of the documents, put them back in. But the important thing is the intent of the document. The intent of the document for sure interferes in the relationship between patient and physician because, according to the amendment to International Health Regulation, director general, now is going to have powers to declare public health emergency of international concern. If there is an outbreak somewhere. Once that's declared, then the World Health Organization is going to give recommendations on how to play once that that's in place, so they can recommend different medical treatments, diagnostic tests, vaccines, they can issue vaccine passports because they do like the EU model of the vaccine digital passport. They can also ask the countries to close the border if they're concerned with it. They can limit the trade to that those countries. And this is where we all came together and said, this is beyond what an organization like World Organization should do. And this does have impact on national sovereignty of our countries. And again, I would like to urge everyone to look at these documents, look at the pandemic treaty, and push for your countries to exit the World Health Organization. We do not need a supranational organization in an event that something happens around the world. We have leaders in our own governments, in our own health and human services and other agencies that can get together and discuss how these measures can be taken without having the bureaucratic entity behind it. And it is important to mention that World Health Organization is a part of the United Nations and all other countries recently. September of last year signed the pact for the future. That kind of pushes forward this agenda 2030 and even more control to these supranational agencies. So from this perspective of Americans and from my own perspective, I'm grateful to this administration for taking this first step in exiting. And hopefully by the end of next year, we're going to be completely out and not have any more relations with the World Health Organization. Thank you, Reggie, for your leadership on this as well.

Reggie Littlejohn: [02:23:50] Oh, thank you, Doctor Lindley. I really appreciate your remarks. Next up is Frank Gaffney. He's the president of the Institute for the American Future. Co-founder with me of the Sovereignty Coalition, host of Securing America with Frank Gaffney on Real America's Voice Network, and he will talk about the Sovereignty

Coalition and the US campaign to exit the World Health Organization. Thank you, Frank.

Frank Gaffney (USA): [02:24:16] Thank you, Reggie, and thank you to everyone who has preceded me. This is a most impressive group. And congratulations, Reggie, on kicking it off under your leadership. I wanted just to say very briefly that I'm really proud of our association, Reggie and our partnership in helping launch the sovereignty coalition back, as I recall, February of 2023 as the pandemic treaty loomed. It appeared at the time in the run up to the World Health Assembly meeting of that year. Fortunately, I think in part thanks to our agitation inside the United States, and obviously that of so many others around the world. They weren't able to pull that off despite their best efforts. And at the last possible moment, as it's been mentioned by others, they substituted in these amendments to the International Health Regulations, which, frankly got done much of what they were trying to do in the pandemic treaty, as we saw when it was finally adopted in May of this year. The point is that we've, I believe, have had and I may have missed a year here. 2024 was when the, the IRS were adopted that gave rise in turn to the adoption of the plan for the future in the United Nations and then set the stage for the rather, I think Pro forma treatment of the pandemic treaty this year. The point being that we have now seen a succession of very bad agreements that have had the kinds of problems associated with them that so many of our previous speakers have addressed. And what I wanted to simply convey is that one of the positive things that has arisen as a result of all of that is that here in the United States, we have been able to mobilize, I think, popular opinion and certainly the leadership of our country in important respects, to oppose what this is all about at the end of the day.

Frank Gaffney (USA): [02:26:49] And it's not just about a treaty here or a an agreement or an amendment there. This is about nothing short of establishing world government doing it. Yes, incrementally, doing it stealthily, but nonetheless moving inexorably in the direction of crushing sovereignty of nations and personal freedoms throughout the world. And in the process of educating people about this, I'm proud to say that I think we've had 22 attorneys general of the United States in the various states across the country. We've had 24 initially and then ultimately 26 governors, and we've had fully 49 members of the United States Senate. I think that number is actually larger now as a result of the most recent election, who have expressly said, we are not going to submit our country, our states, but the nation as a whole and our people to the dictates of the

World Health Organization and other international bodies that seek to supplant our constitutional republic with this world governance. And as was mentioned earlier, elite, unaccountable rule. This is the lasting legacy. And it has now translated into Donald Trump in the course of his campaign for re-election. He, of course began the process of withdrawing us from the World Health Organization due to the bad experiences we all had with Tedros Ghebreyesus.

Frank Gaffney (USA): [02:28:35] Well, arbitrary, capricious. And I think, frankly nefarious work on behalf of the Chinese Communist Party during the Covid pandemic. He was unable to complete that process before the Biden administration came in and reversed it on its first day. But he has picked up where he left off. Thanks be to God. And not only that, he has done it as president of the United States, not just as a candidate. So as Caitlin Lee, our dear and revered colleague in the Sovereignty Coalition, has just mentioned, we are now on the path, the glide path, if you will, to withdrawing the United States from this seriously defective and Chinese Communist Party dominated operation, not just the Big Pharma and World Economic Forum and United Nations and other what I think of as totalitarian globalists. But the Chinese Communist Party itself witness its selection of Tedros Ghebreyesus to be the director general of this organization in the first place. These are the sorts of things that argue very powerfully, I believe, for a different approach. Secretary Robert Kennedy Jr, now the secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services in the United States, but a longtime activist in this space, has promised that we're going to move in a different direction, a different organization or different arrangements, at least to advance where its useful collective efforts towards international public health. And not just, by the way, as was mentioned earlier in regards to pandemics. In many ways, that's the least of our problems. There are so many others that need attending to, and can be possibly best by bilateral relationships rather than multilateral ones, which inevitably seems begin over time, if not quickly, to be taken over by these globalist forces.

Frank Gaffney (USA): [02:30:51] So let me simply say, in closing, I think Reggie Littlejohn, who has served magnificently in her role as co-chair effectively of our sovereignty coalition and now is the new president of this Anti-Globalist International. And I'm delighted, as I think all of us are to be part of it. I just wanted to say a special word of thanks, Reggie, because of your leadership on the whole concept of what is going on, very much in the mix in this effort to impose a new world government is to

impose a digital gulag as well, in furtherance, of course, of the determination of these globalists to force us all to conform. And I think that you have connected a lot of dots in the process of your advocacy on this point including the contribution being made to that digital gulag by the I amendments, by the pandemic treaty, by the UN's plan for the future, by the prospect of central bank digital currencies, and not least what I call Franken I the prospect that we will have advanced general artificial intelligence and the like ruling our world. Perhaps at the behest of, at least initially, these globalists that must not be allowed to happen. And your leadership in trying to prevent it as deeply appreciated and I hope will be, in the end, successful. God bless you and God bless this. Good work.

Reggie Littlejohn: [02:32:36] Thank you. Frank. Our last speaker is Doctor Andrea Lamont Lazarenko, who has also been my co-host for this event and put in just a yeoman's effort in doing all kinds of work in the background. I want to thank her, and I also want to thank while I'm thanking people, I want to thank Oleg at Bastion, our technical guy, who also has been working very, very hard to make this happen. It's very ambitious event from a technical standpoint. But in any case, Doctor Andrea Lamont Lazarenko is a psychologist. She's a researcher, she's a consultant, she's the vice president of Global Health Project and the co-founder of the Inspired Network, among other things. And her remarks will center on taking action collective voices united in resistance. Thank you, Andrea.

Dr. Andrea Lamont Nazarenko MA, MA, MAS, PhD (USA): [02:33:30] Thank you so much, Reggie, and thank you to all of the panelists who've been on. This has been an absolutely incredible journey around the world. And one of the things that really resonates with me is as I listen to all of our shared Experiences. It's remarkable how folks from so many different backgrounds, so many different cultures, so many different value systems all can unite on this single cause. We may differ in every other aspect of our life. We may differ politically and religiously, and we may differ the way we parent our children and the way we tend to our lawns. But we share the agreement that our differences are our human right. And what the globalists want to do is strip us away of our identities by stripping us away of our culture, by taking away our unique histories, by taking away our unique, unique life stories and the values and belief systems that we were born under makes us all the same. So although we're sitting here today listening to these stories and hearing a unified message, it’s always important to remember that the

thing we are fighting for. The thing that makes our world colorful and beautiful and special, is that we are not the same. And we will resist any action that makes us so because our disunity is actually our strength. Our disunity is what makes us progress and advance and offer a world for the future that is rooted in the values of humanity.

Dr. Andrea Lamont Nazarenko MA, MA, MAS, PhD (USA): [02:35:13] So as I sit here today and I listen, one of the things that that rises up for me is this idea that you could feel so powerless. Right. I'm sitting here listening to these amazing, amazing doctors and politicians and activists, and I say, what could my one voice do? And so I want to close out the summit with a with a small little anecdotal story, because it was a story that resonated with me in my life. I was once on an airplane, and I'm traveling somewhere at some big international airport. And, you know, I was fortunate enough to have one of the front seats where you could hear what's going on. And so I'm sitting there and the pilot comes over the announcements and he says, well, we're going to be quite delayed. We're about 15 planes back in a waiting line. And you know that feeling you get when you hear the pilot come over the announcement telling you you're going to be delayed waiting in line and you don't have your water and you can't go to the bathroom. And so all of a sudden, a lady walks up in a panic and she whispers to the flight attendant, and the flight attendant is shrugging her shoulders and saying, I can't do anything. And then the lady goes and sits down and you see the flight attendant get on the line, and a few minutes later, all of a sudden, the pilot gets on the loudspeaker and says, all right, guys, we're ready for takeoff.

Dr. Andrea Lamont Nazarenko MA, MA, MAS, PhD (USA): [02:36:37] The flight goes ahead and we finally land, and the pilot finally gets on the loudspeaker and explains what happened. And he said, if everybody could just remain in their seat, when we pull up to the gate, we're getting immediately shuffled to the gate. If everyone could remain in the seat, there's a woman on this plane who's racing to see her dying mother on the hospital bed. And if everyone could just wait to let her off the plane first, she has the chance of seeing her. The moral of the story is that first flight attendant could have done nothing. She could have said, it's out of my control. I have no power over the situation. There's a line. The pilot could have received the call and said, there's nothing I could do. There's a line of traffic. The air traffic control could have said there's nothing we could do. It's out of our control. The receiving airport could have said you're early. There's nothing we could do. But no one did that. Every single person from the flight

attendant to air traffic control did what they could do. They all did their small part. And because of that, the woman was able to exit the plane and hopefully see her dying mother. The same story rings true today. You may just be a single person in a single country with a tyrannical government who feels powerless.

Dr. Andrea Lamont Nazarenko MA, MA, MAS, PhD (USA): [02:38:05] But when we all just do that one little thing, just write that letter. Just call that representative. Just talk to your neighbors. When we all collectively use our voices, we are 8 billion people strong. The secrets that the Israelis share today to their success. It wasn't a massive, groundbreaking secret. It was being bold. It was being daring. It was not being afraid of using your voice to say, hey, this is corrupt and this is not okay. It was resting in the belief that the truth will always prevail, and so long as we could use our voices, we could plant the seed, we could create the ripple. And when 8 billion people create a ripple together, we could create a tsunami. There is a letter that has been produced by a team of international attorneys already written for you to write, send to your representatives. There's documents of who to send it to. All produced by international attorneys and international activists. They will appear on the Anti-Globalist International website for ease of access. You can edit it, you can make it your own or you could send it as is. But please do your part. Just like the flight attendant did her part, the pilot did their part. Because when we work together, that is when we change the trajectory of the world. We have four days We could do this. Thank you, Reggie, and thank you for everybody for doing their part.

Reggie Littlejohn: [02:39:40] Thank you, Andrea, for that stirring conclusion. Thanks to everybody for this being with us for this marathon session. Almost three hours. But we have launched an international alliance to stand for freedom. And the first project is to get our, our own individual nations to reject the international health regulations. As Andrea said, we are going to post the letter on the Anti-Globalist International website, which is Antiglobalist.net. You can use that letter to send to your leadership in your country to get them to just opt out to reject the international health regulations has to happen by this Saturday or you can't opt out after that. But basically rejection of the International Health regulations is just one part of what we need to do, which is reject the entire great, reset the entire race towards global governance, the entire erasing of our national sovereignty and our personal medical freedom. So I would urge everyone to not only to oppose the International Health regulations, but I think that I would like to

see all other countries withdraw entirely from the World Health Organization, like the United States and Argentina have done. And then we can establish a separate organization or consortium of free nations to address health issues in a way that is collaborative and cooperative and not coercive. So thank you all very much for your time. A video of this go up on the Anti-Globalist International website in a couple of days. Thank you very much and goodbye.